

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

**Mathematical Methods
for Materials Scientists and Engineers**

3.016 Fall 2006

W. Craig Carter

Department of Materials Science and Engineering
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
77 Massachusetts Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Problem Set 1: Due Thursday Sept. 14, Before 9PM: email to mingtang(the symbol at)mit.edu

There will be no group assignments for this first problem set. You should submit your homework by attaching a Mathematica notebook as an attachment of an email to mingtang(-at-)mit.edu. To ensure that you receive credit, you should name your notebook **HW01_Lastname.nb** before you attach it.

Individual Exercise I1-1

In many simple models, the potential between two atoms is taken to be the Lennard-Jones potential

$$LJ(r) = \frac{a}{r^{12}} - \frac{b}{r^6} \quad (1)$$

1. Calculate the distance $0 < r_o < \infty$ at which the Lennard-Jones potential is an extremum in terms of a and b
2. Calculate the energy $E_o = LJ(r_o)$ at the extremal position in terms of a and b
3. The parameters a and b are not very “physical.” In other words, it is not easy to look at Equation 1 and determine its characteristic physics if it written in terms of a and b . On the other hand, the variables r_o and E_o are physical.

Re-express the Lennard-Jones potential in terms of the energy (E_o) and the two-atom separation (r_o)—in other words what is $LJ(r)$ written with parameters E_o and r_o instead of a and b .

4. Calculate the force, F , between two atoms as a function of their separation r in terms of r_o and E_o .
5. Determine any conditions on r_o and E_o such that $LJ(r_o)$ is a minimum. This is also the condition that r_o is an *equilibrium* separation—explain why.
6. It is good practice to create “normalized” or “dimensionless” representations of physical variables. Explain why $\bar{F} \equiv Fr_o/E_o$ and $\bar{r} \equiv r/r_o$ are normalized variables.
7. Plot $LJ(\bar{r})/E_o$ and $\bar{F}(\bar{r})$ together.

Individual Exercise I1-2

This homework problem is a type of “simulated experiment.”

Suppose that you wish to determine the density of a small sphere with very high confidence and with an estimate of the error of your determination.

One method to increase your confidence in your determined density is to repeat your measurement many many times.

Suppose that you determine density by the following process

find mass Weigh the small sphere and record its mass. Your scale can resolve 0.001 grams (i.e., there is a digital read-out that reports numbers like this: 1.234 grams)

find diameter Measure the small sphere and record its diameter. Your resolution is 0.1 millimeters.

calculate Using an appropriate formula, you calculate the density.

which is repeated over and over.

Suppose that by averaging and taking the standard deviation of your data, your mass determination is $m = 0.1256 \pm 0.0005$ grams and your determination of diameter is $D = 2.04 \pm 0.08$ millimeters.

How do you relate the average density and its variation to those of the mass and diameter?

Answer this question by assuming that your data are “normally” (in other words Gaussian) distributed and producing “simulated” data.

Find the appropriate discussion in MATHEMATICA[®]’s help browser for the function **Random** and extend **NormalDistribution** example found there in “Further Examples” to your case.

Your simulated experiment consists of

generate mass Generate a random mass from a normal distribution with the appropriate average and standard deviation.

generate diameter Generate a random diameter from a normal distribution with the appropriate average and standard deviation.

calculate Using an appropriate formula, calculate the density.

1. Repeat your simulated experiment 10000 times. Plot histograms of the simulated mass, diameter, and density.
2. Calculate the average and standard deviation of your density.
3. (extra credit) See if you can generalize the problem by letting the standard deviation of the mass and diameter be variables σ_m and σ_D . Run your simulated experiment by fixing σ_m and letting σ_D take on different values, and by fixing σ_D and letting σ_m take on different values. Plot the resulting σ_ρ and describe the relationships $\partial\sigma_\rho/\partial\sigma_D$ and $\partial\sigma_\rho/\partial\sigma_m$.